**LONGHILLS VILLAGE POA**

**CALLED BOARD MEETING**

**MAY 31, 2016**

A called meeting of the Longhills Village POA board was held on May 31 at the Quick’s home. Members present were: Ken Quick, Michelle Broadway, Bob Russell, Anthony Baker, Mark Howard, Gary Hopper; Charles Moulton, several hours before the meeting, called to report that he had just been advised that he must chair a commission meeting at 7:00. Minutes of previous board meeting had been previously approved via email. Ken Quick called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.

Thepurpose of this meeting was to conduct a final review of the Lenz Amendment process before releasing documents to the printer for mailing to LHV residents later this week. On March 15 Mr. Lenz asked the board to advise what he had to do in order to gain POA approval for a replat involving lot 265 and 215 requesting that a previously approved street be moved 15 feet. Mr. Lenz was advised that amendments to the Longhills Village BOAs required a vote of the residents, not the POA Board.  Further, Mr. Lenz was advised that, per the Phase V Bill of Assurance an amendment to the Phase V Bill of Assurance would need a majority of the Phase V residents and the majority of Phases I - IV residents in order to be approved. After more than two months of discussions with Mr. Lenz and his lawyer Perry Young the board is finally in a position to conduct this vote.

Ken asked if there was anything new to report or any questions that have not been addressed regarding this amendment process. Many issues were discussed, with the following receiving the most attention:

How can lot 265 be converted to a street when BOA says “lots can only be used for residential purposes”? Lots are changed to tracts first, and then to streets after city approval. Lots cannot be used for a business is the primary intent of this passage.

How can Lenz ask for amendment if not a POA member and does not pay dues? He is now a member and will pay dues on a lot in the future.

How can we table the vote at the annual meeting and then vote now without having another meeting? We ruled that a vote could not be conducted at the annual meeting because adequate notice was not given and that proxy votes were not permitted.

What happened with Charlie consulting with another attorney on this process? Charlie was only to consult on the common area BOA issue, not to advise on this vote process**. This was confirmed with Charlie after the meeting.**

The ballot explanation enclosure was read out loud to determine if it was satisfactory to members. **Members asked that board members also be added as contact for questions.** Members were reminded they could not take a position.

Several board members asked that a statement be added that **POA member’s ballot had to be mailed directly and not passed through an intermediary – Mr. Lenz .**

A motion was made and seconded to accept the First Amendment in the ballot package as presented? Passed by a vote of 4 – 2.

Ken asked if there a motion for the POA to pay for the ballot process instead of Mr. Lenz? No motion was made.

Ken asked if there was a motion to change the process for counting the votes. No motion was made.

Several board members are still not satisfied with the current status. Ken reported that he and Charlie agreed that this was a good result and felt that we should proceed.

Ken advised that current plans are to send out vote package by the end of the week.

Meeting was adjourned at 8:30.